## MODELING AND REASONING ABOUT CONTEXTUAL REQUIREMENTS

Raian Ali, Fabiano Dalpiaz, Paolo Giorgini



UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO - Italy Information Engineering and Computer Science Department

#### MOTIVATION



- Some requirements aren't absolute, but context dependent:
  - Context stimulates a requirement
    - It is humid inside  $\rightarrow$  fresh air is required
  - Context enables an alternative to meet a requirement
    - It is sunny and not windy outside  $\rightarrow$  windows can be opened
  - Context influences the quality of each alternative
    - He is sleeping  $\rightarrow$  opening windows violates his privacy/comfort
- Meeting requirements leads to changes in context.
  - Opening the windows  $\rightarrow$  opened windows and high light level



#### **MOTIVATION..**



- Most RE presumes uniform, not varying, contexts.
- In emerging computing, like UbiCom, PerCom, AmI, this assumption is no longer valid.
- Why Context with Goals?



- Context influences human intentions & choices first.
- Software has to reflect human adaptation to context.



- Example:
  - if a context like ``tourist has not had lunch yet and it is around lunch hour" holds
  - the tour guide will try to reach a goal like ``find a place for tourist to eat".
  - Moreover, the context ``tourist is vegetarian'' will limit the restaurants from which the guide would choose..



#### CONTEXTUAL GOAL MODEL ICAISE08, ER08, CAISE09 FORUM, EMMSAD091

#### CONTEXTUAL GOAL MODEL



- Context is the reification of the environment that is whatever provides a surrounding in which the system is to operate [Finkelstien STRAW'01].
- Adaptability is, essentially, selecting between variants.
- Associating each goal model variant & context is hard:
  - Exponential number of variants
  - Inability to understand variant at once.
- To bypass, we identify context on variation points in the goal model.



#### **VARIATION POINTS**



1. Or-Decomposition: each variant could require a valid context to be adoptable.

2. Contribution: contributions to softgoals are not absolutely positive or negative.



#### **VARIATION POINTS**



# **3. Actors Dependency:** to depend on other, a certain context has to hold.

#### 4. Root goals: context stimulates root goals



C6: staff is free, speaks a language common to customer, knows well the product, and close to customer C1: enough time to promote, customer is not in a hurry, customer does not have the product



**5. And-Decomposition:** certain contexts make a subgoal /subtask in an And-decompsition needed.

6. Means-end: some tasks require a valid context to be adoptable in a means-end analysis.



C12: customer is not around and can not be seen directly by the sales staff



**C7** : the customer place is not noisy, the system is trained enough on the customer voice **C8:** customer has technology expertise and the used device has a touch screen

#### **CONTEXT ANALYSIS**



- While Goal is a state of the world to reach; Context is a state of the world that is the case.
  - We analyze goals to know what to do to reach them
  - We analyze contexts to know what to monitor to verify them.



## CONTEXT ANALYSIS CONSTRUCTS



- Fact: a predicate specifying a context, its truth value can be objectively computed.
  - E.g. F1: customer never bought the product [p] from the mall.
- **Statement:** .... can not be objectively computed.
  - E.g. St1: Customer does not have the product [p]
- Help: F: fact, S: statement. help(F,S) iff  $F \rightarrow S$ .
  - E.g. Help(F1,St1)
- **Decomposition:** or/and of facts and statements.
  - E.g. customer is interested in product: (i) behaviorally or (ii) historically.

#### **CONTEXT ANALYSIS NOTATION**





#### CONTEXT EFFECT TAXONOMY



- For each goal model variant:
  - Stimulating context: the conjunction of contexts at the Root goal and And-decopmositions.
    - tourist is hungry
  - Required context: the conjunction of contexts at Ordecompisition, Means-End, and Delegation.
    - there is a close restaurant that accepts tourist credit card
  - Quality contexts: for each (variant, SG contribution).
    - the restaurant is close enough.

#### **OVERALL**











## **REASONING ABOUT CONTEXTUAL GOAL MODEL**

#### **FORMALIZATION**



- The context analysis hierarchy translated to Boolean formula of leaf facts as variables.
- The contextual goal model into Datalog.
- A prototype tool ``RE-Context'' has been implemented.
- Up to now, we encode the model manually.

#### VALIDATION



- We developed reasoning to validate the context of each goal model variant :
  - Relations (implication and contradictions) are specified between contexts (at whatever level of the context hierarchy).
  - SAT solver is used to find a model for the conjunction of the Boolean formula expressing a context and the assumed relations.
- Note: the compact form of goal model could naturally include variants with inconsistent contexts. i.e. not necessarily modeling errors, but indeed unadoptable.

#### **EXPLAINING CONFLICTS**



- We provide reasoning to detect conflicts and:
  - The goals behind them.
  - The context in which they happens.
  - The alternatives that can avoid us the conflict.
  - The conflicts that are Core where a resolution is critical.
- As an example: Water Conflict.









#### **CONTEXTUALIZATION**



- Given a context and a user prioritization, we derive a suitable goal model variant.
- Prioritization is given over softgoals for two reasons:
  - Bypassing the enumeration of goal model variants.
  - Talking to stakeholder in their terms.



## **DERIVING CORE REQUIREMENTS**



- Core requirements are system requisite that can't be bargained on.
- Discovering them is useful for timing & budget constraints, and when flexibility & quality is not a main issue. I.e. when we need just a Valid System.
- The variants that, at certain context, have no alternatives are core.
  - We discover core variants. (actually, core groups!)
  - We process the groups to elicit variants for minimum costs.

#### EXAMPLE





The non-core variant	The variants excluding the non-core variants	The core groups of variants	The cost relations	The min-cost core requirements
<b>NV1=</b> {T6, T10}	<b>V1</b> = {T1, T3}	Core1= {V1, V2, V3, V4}	Cost(T1,30), Cost(T2,40), Cost(T3,60),	The variants to develop=
<b>NV2=</b> {T6, T11}	<b>V2</b> = {T1, T4}	Core2= {V5}	Cost (T4,80), Cost(T5,25),	{ V2, V5, V6}
Both can be	<b>V3</b> = {T2, T3}	Core3= {V6}	Cost(T6,35), Cost(T7,50), Cost	
replaced by V2	<b>V4=</b> {T2, T4}		(T8,30), <b>Cost</b> (T9,50),	The tasks to develop=
due to the	V5= {T5, T8, T9}		Cost (T10,50), Cost (T11, 30).	{T1, T4, T5, T7, T8, T9}
implications:	V6= {T7, T8, T9}		Include(T2, T1), Intersect(T3, T4, 40),	
C13→C12 and			Intersect (T3, T5, 20), Intersect(T4, T5,	Costs= 215 (development of the
the trivial C15 $ ightarrow$			20), Intersect (T4, T9, 30)	core variants V2, V5, V6)
true.			Cost= 340 (development of all	
			variants)	



#### COLLABORATIVE WORK IIWSPM@RE09 , DSPL@SPLC 091



UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO - Italy Information Engineering and Computer Science Department





#### AN INTEGRATED FRAMEWORK







#### **USEFULNESS OF INTEGRATION**





# CONTEXT FOR GOAL LEVEL SPLE



- Variability is that of human intentions and choices first.
- Context influences decisions at this level first.
- A Dynamic SPL has to reflect such adaptation to derive a contextualized product variant.
- We introduced the terms:
  - Online SPL Contextualization.
  - Offline SPL Contextualization.
  - Maintenance based on operation in multiple contexts.

#### FUTURE 3 WORKS





#### • Lifelong Contextualization!!

- "What are the requirements? Well, it depends on the context, but I do not know exactly how".
- Viewpoints in Context Specification:
  - E.g., Tourist is interested in attending a cultural event if
    - the event conveys very new information
    - If the event is related to the tourist culture.
- Security Requirements in Varying Vontexts.
  - E.g., unless I am unconscious or far away from my city, no one but my private doctor can see my medical record without my permission.