#### The Semantic Bases for Adaptation

Amit K. Chopra 5/6/2009 University of Trento

## Autonomy

- Property of Stakeholder
  - Freedom to act
  - Only makes sense in a social setting
- Motivates compliance
  - Other stakeholders have legitimate expectations
  - Penalties and sanctions if noncompliant
- Implies distribution
  - Implies concurrency
- Implies interaction

## Autonomic software

"Self-managing" software

Optimizing, healing, protecting, etc.

Requires monitoring, reflection, and adaptation

#### Autonomic vs. Autonomous

- Autonomic but not autonomous
  - Travel agent that can book a room in an alternative hotel in case of cancellation by the original hotel, but cannot cancel hotel reservation without approval from the hotel
- Autonomous but not autonomic
  - Travel agent that can cancel hotel booking, but does not know how to make alternate reservations if necessary

## **Semantic Bases**

- Autonomy
  - Commitments
    - Capture business-level expectations
- Autonomic
  - Goals
    - Capture business-level intentions

# Agent

#### Locus of autonomy

- Has goals (beliefs, intentions, etc.)
- Is party to commitments
- Is goal-directed
  - Expressed by preferences over executions including those that involve commitment violations
  - In some suitable declarative language

# Multiagent System

#### Consists of multiple agents

- Connectors between agents in terms of commitments
- No system-level goals
- Building a multiagent system means focussing on the interconnections
  - Does not matter whether agents expressed in terms of goals and plans, JADE, or BPEL

## Adaptation

An intentional mechanism

• Agent adapts (in view of its goals),

- No system-level adaptation

- Agent monitors goals and commitments (and the relevant environmental conditions)
- Agent computes trust
  - Based on its interactions
  - Based on reputation

### **Bases of Adaptation**

Goals, Commitments, Trust

- Goals: when to adapt
- Commitments: which are the *correct* adaptations
- Trust: who to interact with

#### Few adaptations without interaction

### **Adaptation Examples**

- In response to a hike in interest rates, cardholder transfers his credit card balance to a lower interest credit card
- In response to changed government regulations, card issuing banks have to change their advertising, billing, and interest rate determination procedures
- In view of loss in the stock market, cardholder stops making payment on the card

## Adaptation Examples (cont.)

- Amazon goes out of business, so the cardholder starts doing business with Barnes and Noble based on the latter's reputation
- Issuing bank may waive late payment fee rather than risk antagonizing the cardholder and lose his business

## Proposal



Automated Verification: Goals-Commitments

Private-public, internal-external, intentional-social

- If an agent incurs a commitment, does it have a strategy (in terms of goals, capabilities, and commitments) for satisfying the commitment?
- If an agent has a goal, does it have a strategy, possibly involving interaction with others, for achieving the goal?

## **Some Observations**

#### KAOS

Assigns leaf-level goals to agents, thus not stakeholder-oriented!

```
i*
```

• No treatment of interaction

TROPOS

- Interaction hidden inside plans
- Concept of system-to-be as actor is unclear

- Who owns it? Who is responsible for it?